

United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/827,495	04/19/2004	Kurt Ballou	S63.2-6072-US03	3473
490	7590 05/27/2005		EXAMINER	
•	RETT & STEINKRA	SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD		
6109 BLUE CIRCLE DRIVE SUITE 2000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			3738	

DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/827,495	BALLOU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bruce E Snow	3738			
The MAILING E Period for Reply	PATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specification of the period for reply is specification. - Failure to reply within the se	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 the mailing date of this communication. de above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply iffied above, the maximum statutory period we or extended period for reply will, by statute, fice later than three months after the mailing.	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) filed on 11 M	arch 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this applie						
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,8</u> 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>3,9,10</u>	and 21-24 is/are pending in the claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are withdra is/are allowed. 3,11 and 21-24 is/are rejected. and 12 is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may no	t request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119					
a) All b) Sor 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of application	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority documents copies of the priority documents the certified copies of the prior n from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cite		4) Interview Summary				
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 11, 2005 have been fully considered.

Applicant's terminal disclaimer overcame the obviousness-type double patenting rejections in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,723,118 and U.S. Patent No. 6,071,308.

Applicant's amendments to claims 1 and 9 overcame the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5-6, and 8-12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant's amendment to claim 12 overcame the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Applicant's amendments overcame the art rejection in view of Simon et al and Hasen et al.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1, line 2, "the body portion" should be "the body wall". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 11 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hojeibane (5,911,732).

Referring to figure 5, Hojeibane teaches a stent comprised of a tube form body having a body wall structure of a geometric pattern of cells 182 defined by wire extending throughout the body portion and defining the cell pattern as a plurality of spaced sections of interconnected cells which in plan view are of hexagonal polygonal configuration, the plurality of spaced sections including a first end spaced section and a second end spaced section, at least one of the plurality of spaced sections having two rows of cells circumferentially distributed about the tube, adjacent spaced sections being connected to each other by a straight connector section of the wire (77a including a portion of the each spaced section such that the connector extends from the first end spaced section to the second end spaced section).

Also see figure 1, wherein the second and third strut 75 down from the top are extend the entire length of the stent and are interpreted as the straight connector section of wire.

Claim 8, sleeve, see graft, 3:45 et seq.

Claims 6 and 11, the rectangular cells 182 will expand into a hexagonal shape.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 9, 10, 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E Snow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4759. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER